## Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Montalban Oil & Gas Operation, Inc. Location: SW NW Section 13 T37N R5W

rule 36.22.1001.

County: Glacier, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Cut Bank Air Quality (possible concerns) Long drilling time: No, 5 to 6 days drilling time. Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No. 2900' TD, Madison Formation at total Possible H2S gas production: Very slight chance H2S from the Madison Formation. In/near Class I air quality area: Closest Class I air quality area would be the Blackfeet Indian Reservation, about 7 miles to the west from this location. Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211. Mitigation: \_\_ Air quality permit (AQB review) \_\_ Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas \_\_ Special equipment/procedures requirements \_ Other: Comments: No special concerns – using small rig to drill to 2900'TD Cut Bank/Madison Formation development well. If there are not gas gathering systems nearby, associated gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220. Water Quality (possible concerns) Salt/oil based mud: No, freshwater, freshwater gel mud system. High water table: None anticipated at this location. Surface drainage leads to live water No. Nearest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to Red River, about 1/8 of a mile to the northeast from this location. Water well contamination: No. closest water wells are about 5/8 of a mile to the northeast, about 5/8 of a mile to the southeast, about 3/4 of a mile to northeast and about 7/8 of a mile to the northeast from this location. Depth of theses domestic and stock water wells range from 35' to 155'. Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sandy bentonitic clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No. Class I stream drainages in the area of review. Mitigation: Lined reserve pit X Adequate surface casing X re-routed drainage around outside of location. \_\_ Closed mud system \_\_\_ Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility) Other: Comments: 350' of surface casing will be set and cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones, rule 36.22.1001. Also, fresh water mud systems to be used,

## Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)
Steam crossings: No, stream crossings anticipated.
High erosion potential: No high erosion potential anticipated at this wellsite. Location
will require a moderate cut, up to 12.4' and small fill, up to 4.2', required.
Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If
productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite: No, 200'X200' location size required.
Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is a cultivated field.
Conflict with existing land use/values Slight, surface use cultivated field.
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other
Comments: Access will be utilized existing county roads, Santa Rita Highway
#214 and lease roads. A short access from Kruger well #9 will be constructed to this
well location, Kruger #12. Cuttings will be buried in and unlined earthen pit. Drilling
fluids if used will be allowed to dry in the pit. Pits will be backfilled after drying. No
special concerns.
Haaldh Harawda (Naisa
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: None nearby. No residences within a 1mile
radius from this location.
Possibility of H2S: Slight to none H2S.
Size of rig/length of drilling time: Small drilling rig/short 5 to 6 days drilling time
Mitigation:
X Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements
X Other: Standard pre-cautions for the area.
Comments: Adequate surface casing and operational BOP (3,000 psi annular)
should mitigate any problems. (BOP's 3,000 psig annular) Rule 36.22.1014. No
concerns.
Wildlife/recreation
Wildlife/recreation (possible concerns)
Wildlife/recreation (possible concerns) Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Wildlife/recreation (possible concerns) Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified. Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.
Wildlife/recreation  (possible concerns)  Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: None identified.
Wildlife/recreation  (possible concerns)  Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: None identified.  Conflict with game range/refuge management: None identified.
Wildlife/recreation  (possible concerns)  Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: None identified.  Conflict with game range/refuge management: None identified.  Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened or endangered
Wildlife/recreation  (possible concerns)  Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: None identified.  Conflict with game range/refuge management: None identified.

concern is the Wolverine. NH Tracker website lists three(3) species of concern,
Chestnut-collared Longspur, Long-billed Curlew and the Mc Cowan's Longspur  Mitigation:
Mitigation: Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: Private surface cultivate land. There may be species of concern
that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface
owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern is discovered at this
location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands. No
concerns.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites None identified.
Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other:
Comments: Private surface
Comments
Social/Economic
(possible concerns)
Substantial effect on tax base
Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments: Private surface cultivate land. There may be possible
historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the
operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no
jurisdiction over private surface lands.
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site  Well is a 2900' Madison Formation development well test in the Graben Coulee Field.
Well is a 2900' Madison Formation development well test in the Graben Coulee Field.
Well is a 2900' Madison Formation development well test in the Graben Coulee Field.  Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
Well is a 2900' Madison Formation development well test in the Graben Coulee Field.  Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects  No significant impacts expected in the drilling of this gas well. Some short term surface
Well is a 2900' Madison Formation development well test in the Graben Coulee Field.  Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/<u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the

impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): Steven Sasaki (title:) Chief Field Inspector Date: May 9, 2013 Other Persons Contacted: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website (Name and Agency) Glacier County water wells. (subject discussed) May 9, 2013 (date) US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Glacier County (subject discussed) May 9, 2013 (date) Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP) (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T37N R5W (subject discussed) May 9, 2013 (date) If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection:

human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental